Case 3:05-cv-02975-MJJ Document 34 Filed 01/24/2006 Page 1 of 3

E-filing

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COX, WOOTTON, GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP

Terence S. Cox (SBN 076142) Marc T. Cefalu (SBN 203324) 190 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone No.: 415-438-4600 Facsimile No.: 415-438-4601

FILED JAN 2 6 2006

RICHARD W. WIE'KING CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Attorneys for Petitioners
THE SAN FRANCISCO BAR PILOTS

BENEVOLENT AND PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION and the SAN FRANCISCO BAR

8 PILOTS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Complaint of THE

SAN FRANCISCO BAR PILOTS

BENEVOLENT AND PROTECTIVE

ASSOCIATION and the SAN

FRANCISCO BAR PILOTS, as Owners

and/or Charterers, of the P/V GOLDEN

GATE, Limitation Petitioners, for

Exoneration from or Limitation of

Liability.

Case No.: C 05-2975 MJJ

STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED]-ORDER
PRESERVING THE
PETITIONERS' LIMITATION
RIGHTS, LIFTING THE STAY
AGAINST STATE COURT
LITIGATION AND STAYING
THE LIMITATION ACTION

WHEREAS, Petitioners The San Francisco Bar Pilots Benevolent and Protective Association and the San Francisco Bar Pilots as Owners and/or Charterers of the *P/V Golden Gate*, have prayed for limitation and/or exoneration under the Vessel Owners' Limitation of Liability Act [the "Act"], 46 U.S. C. §§ 183 *et seq.*, in connection with the incident involving the alleged collision between the *P/V Golden Gate* and the *F/V Ronnie B* that occurred on or about January 17, 2005;

AND WHEREAS Claimants Igor Kwasniza and Alexander Newcomb are the sole claimants herein and wish to prosecute their claims against the petitioners in a single state court action which has not yet been filed;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by the undersigned Petitioners and Claimants, by and through their respective attorneys, that:

(1) The Petitioners have the right to litigate the issue of whether they are entitled

COX, WOOTTON, GRIPPIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP

190 THE BRARCADERO SAN PRANCISCO, CA 94105
TEL 41543844601 27

FAX: 415-438-44601 27

28

MOAC.RonnieB

to limitation of liability under the Act and this Court has exclusive jurisdiction to determine said issues, including, among other things, the issue of the proper value of the limitation fund. The Petitioners submit that they are entitled to litigate the issue of exoneration from liability in this Court. The Claimants do not agree upon Petitioners' right to a trial of the issue of exoneration from liability in this Court following a state court trial. The parties stipulate that this issue shall be determined by this Court prior to the trial of limitation issues;

- (2) Claimants will not seek a determination of the issues set forth in paragraph (1) above in any proceeding other than this case, and consent to waive any *res judicata* effect the decisions, rulings or judgments of any other forum might have on those issues, and further consent to waive the defense of issue preclusion with respect to all matters reserved for determination by this Court;
- (3) Claimants will not seek to enforce any judgment rendered in any judicial forum, whether against Petitioners or another person or entity entitled to seek indemnity or contribution from Petitioners by way of cross-claim or otherwise that would expose Petitioners to liability in excess of the limitation fund until such time as this Court has adjudicated Petitioners' right to limit that liability;
- (4) In the event that this Court determines that Petitioners are entitled to limit their liability, Claimants will not seek to enforce any judgment which would require Petitioners to pay damages in excess of the limitation fund; and,
- (5) In the event this Court determines that Petitioners are entitled to limit their liability, any claim based upon fees and/or costs awarded against Petitioners in favor of Claimants will have first priority against the limitation fund.
- (6) The Claimants will file suit against Petitioners in state court in a single action.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

///

///

28

23

24

25

26

27

2

MOAC.Ronnie8

COX, WOOTTON, GRIFPIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP

E EMBARCADERO

Case 3:05-cv-02975-MJJ Document 34 Filed 01/24/2006 Page 3 of 3

	1	D . 1 Y	COX WOOTTON CRITEIN
	2	Dated: January 24, 2006	COX, WOOTTON, GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP
	3		Attorneys for Petitioners THE SAN FRANCISCO BAR PILOTS
	4		BENEVOLENT AND PROCTECTIVE ASSOCIATION and the SAN FRANCISCO
	5		BAR PILOTS
	6		ByS/ Terence S. Cox
	7		Terence S. Cox
	8		
	9	Dated: January 24, 2006	LAW OFFICES OF LYLE C. CAVIN Attorneys for Claimants IGOR KWASNIZA
	10		and ALEXANDER NEWCOMB
	11		D., /5/
	12		By/S/Ronald H. Klein
COX, WOOTTON, GRIPFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP 190 THE EMBARCADERO SAN BRANCISCO, CA MIGO TEL: 415-438-4601	13		ORDER
	14	THE PARTIES HAVING AGREED THERETO, AND GOOD CAUSE  APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS HEARBY ORDERED that the Monition issued by this  Court on August 11, 2005 be modified so that:	
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		e action in State Court in order to litigate their
	19	personal injury claims;	•
	20	(2) The within action be and h	hereby is stayed until such time as the action to be
	21	filed in State court is eithe	r tried to final judgement, or otherwise resolved.
	22		
	23		$\sim$
	24	Dated: 1 3 5 , 2006	By: The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins
	25	`	United States District Judge
	26		
	27		
MOAC.RonnieB	28		
			-3- Case No. C 05-2975 M